



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

U.S. Election Integrity Plan

[Press enquiries](#)

More information: USEIP.org

RELEASE DATE

September 21, 2021

BOMBSHELL REPORT PROVES STATE AND FEDERAL ELECTION CRIMES COMMITTED – COUNTY COMMISSIONER LEAKS TO PRESS INSTEAD OF REPORTING CRIMES

Mesa County Clerk and Recorder Tina Peters in good faith delivered an initial forensic cybersecurity report covering election machine analysis to County Commissioners. The report roves destruction of evidence. One or more Commissioners misrepresent report to the press - fail to report crimes.

[Mesa County Colorado, September 21, 2021] – Tina Peters hand-delivered an initial peer-reviewed forensic cybersecurity report prior to the late Friday evening filing of her official defense pleading alerting County Commissioners of crimes. The extensive report vindicated citizens’ concerns about 2020 election malfeasance, justifies Peters preservation of election records obligated by federal and state law, and proves crimes were committed by others – not Peters. Instead of submitting the report to the authorities which is their civic duty, one or more of the Commissioners forwarded the report to the press. There is no question the Commissioner(s) leaked the confidential document given the version of the report was initial, [lacking some detail which the official report included](#). [Read the full report...](#)

The expert-generated forensic cybersecurity report detailed a pattern of systematic destruction of election records in Colorado voting systems by the Secretary of State’s staff and voting system vendor during the “Trusted Build” updates that took place in Colorado over the summer. It’s expected the report will become a part of several pending investigations and lawsuits. Additional legal questions are now raised regarding premeditation and conspiracy.

Peters commissioned the detailed forensic examination by court-recognized expert cybersecurity witnesses as part of her duties as Clerk and Recorder. The report now supports her legal defense against Colorado Secretary of State Jena Griswold’s legal accusations. Peters accomplished two objectives by delivering the damning technical report. First, she vindicated her actions, proving citizens’ concerns were warranted. Second, she showed that there were indeed criminal violations of Federal and State law by persons other than herself.

The report proves a simple storyline of events and subsequent implications.

- 1) **The Destruction of evidence.** Destruction of election records, election-related data that's required to be preserved under federal and state law.
- 2) **Thousands of election records were destroyed.**
- 3) **Secretary of State Griswold and the vendor deleted the election records.**
 - Through the "Trusted Build" hardware and software election systems update.
 - By way of Griswold's approved procedures for the updates.
 - Due to Griswold's certified election system configuration. Configurations directed by the Secretary of State were designed to automatically overwrite election data.
- 4) **The election system was illegally certified.** The report stops short of stating Griswold's certification of the voting systems complete with an election record-destroying configuration was illegal. However Colorado statute is explicit that the Federal Voting System Standards are mandatory. The SecState's certification allowed the use of a non-compliant voting system in Colorado elections.
- 5) **The deleted Election Records eliminate the possibility of a complete forensic election audit.** The type of audit SecState Griswold has sought to prohibit by her controversial election rules.

It is unclear whether the Mesa County DA has sole responsibility to investigate the criminal violations. Alternatives include whether the matter must be referred to Federal authorities, the Colorado Attorney General, or every state jurisdiction affected by the technical discovery. The next outstanding question is whether Mesa County Commissioners have any liability for failing to investigate and submit the report's findings to the authorities as required by § 18-8-105.

Mesa County Commissioner Rowland now faces a dilemma. On one hand, she is on the record interrogating Mesa County citizens in a hearing where the Commissioners apparently invited both [press and anti-election integrity industry insiders](#). During the hearing, Rowland aggressively pressed why citizens didn't "[bring the proof \[of fraud\] to the authorities.](#)" At the time, citizens explained that the production of the evidence Rowland was demanding required an investigation of the machines, which citizens had previously requested. On the other hand, at the time of Rowland's irrational demands, she knew access to the voting machines was impossible, given the equipment was sequestered.

In today's County Commissioner's [public hearing](#) McInnes stepped into the breach (~25:00) claiming Peters was report was "anonymous" insinuating there was no official report author. Instead of McInnes successfully bashing the report that clearly is proof of criminal activity, McInnes showed he didn't completely read; or grasp the contents of the report. The Commissioners received an initial report as a courtesy. The final report has now been entered as evidence in Peters defense case. McInnes beclowned himself by claiming the "goal posts have been moved" as damning evidence piled up over months. It appears McInnes simply lost the plot.

In a final attempt to disparage Peters, McInnes repeated false accusations by Secretary of State Jena Griswold. The false claim is Peters leaked passwords during her legal back up of election systems. McInnes is weak on the facts. It was Griswold who was in sole custody of the BIOS passwords in question; she bears the responsibility to prove she or her office didn't

lose control of their passwords. Mesa County Commissioners continue to show their fecklessness, dishonesty, and lack of understanding given the report Peters delivered was a courtesy, initial version. The official report filed in Peters defense pleadings is complete with any information Rowland, McInnes or Davis claim falsely, to be missing.

Since May, citizens across Colorado have been asking Clerks to delay the “Trusted Build” to preserve election records and afford citizens the opportunity to conduct an independent forensic audit. But the shadow emerged of a coordinated campaign by the Secretary of State and the Colorado County Clerks Association (CCCA) leadership, to deprive citizens of knowledge of the “Trusted Build” schedule, and to cajole and coerce reluctant Clerks El Paso County Clerk and Recorder Chuck Broerman and Weld County Clerk Carly Koppes, the President of the CCCA. Both claimed in meetings with citizens that the Secretary of State’s and the Colorado Attorney General’s Offices issued formal warnings to them advising against allowing citizen access election records for audits, including electronic records generating from the Dominion voting systems; or they would be “sued.”

The result of forensic analysis of Mesa County’s system backups completely upends the accusations against Peters. It’s now clear Griswold’s and the media’s initial characterization of Peters was patently false. Peters acted in good faith, despite immense pressure from Griswold’s false accusations in what appears to be intentional attempts to coerce and intimidate her.

Also destroyed are current and former Secretaries of State Wayne Williams, and Griswold’s claims to “Gold Standard” security for Colorado elections. The expert report proves serious crimes were committed by her accusers and may save or implicate other Colorado County Clerks. Given this proof, County Clerks across Colorado are at risk of being responsible for election crimes simply for trusting Matt Crane, the Executive Director of the CCCA, The Secretary of State Jena Griswold, and electronic voting machine vendors.

The report bears serious legal implications beyond Peters’ defense case and Griswold’s motivations to focus law enforcement resources on Peters. Now voting system vendors, the voting system testing lab, and U.S. Election Assistance Commission officials may have some explaining to do.

###